The Single Plan for Student Achievement

School: McFarland Independent

CDS Code: 15-73908-1530229

District: McFarland Unified School District

Principal: Valerie Garcia

Revision Date:

The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the academic performance of all students. California Education Code sections 41507, 41572, and 64001 and the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) require each school to consolidate all school plans for programs funded through the ConApp and ESEA Program Improvement into the SPSA.

For additional information on school programs and how you may become involved locally, please contact the following person:

Contact Person: Smith Efada

Position: Principal

Phone Number: (661) 792-3178

Address: 599 Fifth St.

McFarland, CA 93250

E-mail Address: vagarcia@ mcfarland.k12.ca.us

The District Governing Board approved this revision of the SPSA on .

Table of Contents

School Vision and Mission	4
School Profile	4
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components	4
Data Analysis	4
Surveys	4
Classroom Observations	4
Analysis of Current Instructional Program	4
Description of Barriers and Related School Goals	6
School and Student Performance Data	7
Academic Performance Index by Student Group	7
English-Language Arts Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)	8
Mathematics Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)	9
CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results	10
CELDT (All Assessment) Results	11
Title III Accountability (School Data)	12
Title III Accountability (District Data)	13
Planned Improvements in Student Performance	14
School Goal #1	14
School Goal #2	15
School Goal #3	16
School Goal #4	17
School Goal #5	18
Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance	19
Centralized Service Goal #1	19
Centralized Service Goal #2	20
Centralized Service Goal #3	21
Centralized Service Goal #4	22
Centralized Service Goal #5	23
Summary of Expenditures in this Plan	24
Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source	24
Total Expenditures by Object Type	25
Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source	26
Total Expenditures by Goal	27
School Site Council Membership	28
The Cingle Dian for Chydent Achievement	C/10/11

Recommendations and Assurances	29

School Vision and Mission

McFarland Independent's Vision and Mission Statements

School Profile

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

Classroom Observations

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

Analysis of Current Instructional Program

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

- 1. Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA)
- 2. Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

3. Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA) 4. Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC) Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA) Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC) 7. Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [K-8]) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC) **Teaching and Learning** Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA) Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K–8) (EPC) 10. Lesson pacing schedule (K-8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC) 11. Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA) 12. Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)

Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

Staffing and Professional Development

13. Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Academic Performance Index by Student Group

		API GROWTH BY STUDENT GROUP												
PROFICIENCY LEVEL	All Students				White			African-American			Asian			
	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013		
Number Included														
Growth API														
Base API														
Target														
Growth														
Met Target														

		API GROWTH BY STUDENT GROUP													
PROFICIENCY LEVEL	L Hispanic		English Learners				oeconomi advantag	-	Students with Disabilities						
	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013			
Number Included															
Growth API															
Base API															
Target															
Growth															
Met Target															

Conclusions based on this data:

English-Language Arts Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

	ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS PERFORMANCE DATA BY STUDENT GROUP												
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL	All Students				White			African-American			Asian		
	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	
Participation Rate	20	67	100					100					
Number At or Above Proficient													
Percent At or Above Proficient													
AYP Target: ES/MS	67.6	78.4	89.2	67.6	78.4	89.2	67.6	78.4	89.2	67.6	78.4	89.2	
AYP Target: HS	66.7	77.8	88.9	66.7	77.8	88.9	66.7	77.8	88.9	66.7	77.8	88.9	
Met AYP Criteria	Yes	Yes	Yes										

		EN	IGLISH-L	ANGUA	SE ARTS	PERFOR	MANCE	DATA B	Y STUDE	NT GRO	JP	
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL	Hispanic			English Learners			Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			Students with Disabilities		
	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013
Participation Rate	20	50	100	50	100	100	34	67	100			
Number At or Above Proficient	1	-		ŀ	1			-			I	
Percent At or Above Proficient	1		1	1	1			-			1	
AYP Target: ES/MS	67.6	78.4	89.2	67.6	78.4	89.2	67.6	78.4	89.2	67.6	78.4	89.2
AYP Target: HS	66.7	77.8	88.9	66.7	77.8	88.9	66.7	77.8	88.9	66.7	77.8	88.9
Met AYP Criteria												

Conclusions based on this data:

Mathematics Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

			MAT	HEMATI	CS PERF	ORMAN	CE DATA	BY STU	DENT GF	ROUP		
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL	All Students			White			Afric	an-Ame	rican	Asian		
	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013
Participation Rate	20	67	100	-				100				
Number At or Above Proficient												
Percent At or Above Proficient												
AYP Target: ES/MS	68.5	79.0	89.5	68.5	79.0	89.5	68.5	79.0	89.5	68.5	79.0	89.5
AYP Target: HS	66.1	77.4	88.7	66.1	77.4	88.7	66.1	77.4	88.7	66.1	77.4	88.7
Met AYP Criteria	Yes	Yes	Yes									

			MAT	HEMATI	CS PERF	ORMAN	CE DATA	BY STU	DENT GF	ROUP		
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL	Hispanic			English Learners			Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			Students with Disabilities		
	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013
Participation Rate	20	50	100	50	0	100	34	67	100		1	
Number At or Above Proficient												
Percent At or Above Proficient												
AYP Target: ES/MS	68.5	79.0	89.5	68.5	79.0	89.5	68.5	79.0	89.5	68.5	79.0	89.5
AYP Target: HS	66.1	77.4	88.7	66.1	77.4	88.7	66.1	77.4	88.7	66.1	77.4	88.7
Met AYP Criteria												

Conclusions based on this data:

CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results

	2012-13 CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results											
Grade	Adva	ınced	Early A	dvanced	Interm	ediate	Early Intermediate		Beginning		Number Tested	
	#	%	#	%	# %		#	%	#	%	#	

Conclusions based on this data:

CELDT (All Assessment) Results

	2012-13 CELDT (All Assessment) Results											
Grade	Adva	nced	Early Advanced Intermediate Early Intermediate Beginning						nning	Number Tested		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	

Conclusions based on this data:

Title III Accountability (School Data)

		Annual Growth	
AMAO 1	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Number of Annual Testers		5	
Percent with Prior Year Data		100.0%	
Number in Cohort		5	
Number Met			
Percent Met			
NCLB Target	54.6	56.0	57.5
Met Target		*	

			Attaining Engl	ish Proficiency		
	2010-11		2011-12		201	2-13
AMAO 2	Years of EL instruction		Years of EL instruction		Years of EL	instruction
	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More
Number in Cohort			1	5		
Number Met						
Percent Met						
NCLB Target	18.7	43.2	20.1	45.1	21.4	47.0
Met Target			*	*		

*****	Adequate Yearly Progress for English Learner Subgroup					
AMAO 3	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13			
English-Language Arts						
Met Participation Rate						
Met Percent Proficient or Above						
Mathematics						
Met Participation Rate						
Met Percent Proficient or Above						

Conclusions based on this data:

Title III Accountability (District Data)

	Annual Growth					
AMAO 1	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13			
Number of Annual Testers	1,357	1,276	1,231			
Percent with Prior Year Data	100	99.9	100.0			
Number in Cohort	1,357	1,275	1,231			
Number Met	646	677	573			
Percent Met	47.6	53.1	46.5			
NCLB Target	54.6	56.0	57.5			
Met Target	No	No	No			

	2010-11		2011-12		2012-13	
AMAO 2	Years of EL instruction		Years of EL instruction		Years of EL	instruction
	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More
Number in Cohort	1,004	616	980	584	982	541
Number Met	143	211	151	165	163	170
Percent Met	14.2	34.3	15.4	28.3	16.6	31.4
NCLB Target	18.7	43.2	20.1	45.1	21.4	47.0
Met Target	No	No	No	No	No	No

	Adequate Yearly Progress for English Learner Subgroup at the LEA Level					
AMAO 3	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13			
English-Language Arts						
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Met Percent Proficient or Above	No	No	No			
Mathematics						
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Met Percent Proficient or Above	Yes	No	No			
Met Target for AMAO 3	No	No	No			

Conclusions based on this data:

School Goal #1

UBJECT:	
EA GOAL:	
CHOOL GOAL #1:	
ata Used to Form this Goal:	
indings from the Analysis of this Data:	
ow the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:	

Actions to be Taken	-	Person(s)		Proposed Expe	enditure(s)	
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount

School Goal #2

UBJECT:	
EA GOAL:	
CHOOL GOAL #2:	
Pata Used to Form this Goal:	
indings from the Analysis of this Data:	
low the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:	

Actions to be Taken		Person(s)	Proposed Expenditure(s)				
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount	

School Goal #3

SUBJECT:	
.EA GOAL:	
SCHOOL GOAL #3:	
Data Used to Form this Goal:	
Findings from the Analysis of this Data:	
How the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:	

Actions to be Taken	I:	Person(s)	_	Proposed Expe	enditure(s)	
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount

School Goal #4

UBJECT:	
EA GOAL:	
CHOOL GOAL #4:	
ata Used to Form this Goal:	
indings from the Analysis of this Data:	
low the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:	

Actions to be Taken	-	Person(s)		Proposed Expe	enditure(s)	
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount

School Goal #5

SUBJECT:
LEA GOAL:
SCHOOL GOAL #5:
Data Used to Form this Goal:
Findings from the Analysis of this Data:
How the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal:

Actions to be Taken		Person(s)	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount

The following actions and related expenditures support this site program goal and will be performed as a centralized service. Note: the total amount for each categorical program in this section must be aligned with the Consolidated Application.

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in
SCHOOL GOAL #1:

Actions to be Taken	Timeline	Person(s) Responsible	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
to Reach This Goal			Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in	
SCHOOL GOAL #2:	

Actions to be Taken		Person(s)	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in	
SCHOOL GOAL #3:	

Actions to be Taken	::	Person(s)		Proposed Expe	enditure(s)	
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in	
SCHOOL GOAL #4:	

Actions to be Taken	. . :	Person(s)		Proposed Expe	nditure(s)	
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in	
SCHOOL GOAL #5:	

Actions to be Taken	II	Person(s)	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount

Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source

Total Allocations by Funding Source				
Funding Source	Allocation	Balance (Allocations-Expenditures)		

Total Expenditures by Funding Source				
Funding Source	Total Expenditures			

Total Expenditures by Object Type

Object Type	Total Expenditures

Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source

Object Type	Funding Source	Total Expenditures

Total Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
Goal 1	

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

Name of Members	Principal	Classroom Teacher	Other School Staff	Parent or Community Member	Secondary Students
Numbers of members of each category:					

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The school site council (SSC) recommends this school plan and Proposed Expenditure(s)s to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

- 1. The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
- 2. The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.
- 3. The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan (Check those that apply):

State Compensatory Education Advisory Committee	
	Signature
English Learner Advisory Committee	
	Signature
Special Education Advisory Committee	
	Signature
Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee	
	Signature
District/School Liaison Team for schools in Program Improvement	
	Signature
Compensatory Education Advisory Committee	
	Signature
Departmental Advisory Committee (secondary)	
	Signature
Other committees established by the school or district (list):	
	Signature

- 4. The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.
- 5. This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
- 6. This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on .

Attested:

Valerie Garcia		
Typed Name of School Principal	Signature of School Principal	Date
Typed Name of SSC Chairperson	Signature of SSC Chairperson	Date